

Dr D Y PATIL SCHOOL OF MANAGEMENT

(Approved by AICTE, New Delhi Recognized by Govt. of Maharashtra, Affiliated to Savitribai Phule Pune University)

AISHE Code: C-48357 DTE Code: MB6189 SPPU PUN Code: IMMP015810

(Accredited by NAAC)

ALUMNI FEEDBACK ANALYSIS 2018-2019

Feedback for Overall Institute and Academic process For the Academic Year 2018-19		
1	Admission Procedure	8
2	Fee Structure	8.5
3	Environment	9
4	Infrastructure & Lab Facilities	8.5
5	Faculty	8.5
6	Project Guidance	8
7	Quality of Support Material	9
8	Training & Placement	8
9	Library	9.5
10	Canteen Facilities	8
11	Hostel Facilities	8.5
12	Overall Rating of the Institute	8
13	Alumni Association/Network of old friends	8.5
	Overall Average	8.5





Overall Satisfaction:

The overall average score for the alumni feedback is 8.5. This indicates a generally high level of satisfaction among alumni with various aspects of the institute and academic process.

• Admission Procedure:

The admission procedure received a score of 8.0. This indicates a satisfactory level but also suggests that there might be room for improvement in making the admission process smoother or more efficient.

• Fee Structure:

The fee structure was rated at 8.5. This score reflects a good level of satisfaction, implying that the alumni found the fee structure reasonable and manageable.

• Environment:

The environment of the institute received a high score of 9.0. This suggests that the alumni were very satisfied with the overall environment, which includes aspects such as campus atmosphere, culture, and general ambiance.

• Infrastructure & Lab Facilities:

Infrastructure and lab facilities also scored 8.5, indicating that the alumni were quite satisfied with the physical and technical resources provided by the institute.

Faculty:

The faculty was rated at 8.5. This reflects a strong satisfaction level, suggesting that the alumni appreciated the quality of teaching and expertise of the faculty members.

• Project Guidance:

Project guidance received a score of 8.0. While this is a satisfactory score, it indicates that there may be opportunities to enhance the support and guidance provided for student projects.

• Quality of Support Material:

The quality of support material was rated highly at 9.0. This suggests that the alumni found the study materials and resources provided by the institute to be very helpful and of high quality.

Training & Placement:

Training and placement services received a score of 8.0. While satisfactory, this score indicates potential for improving the effectiveness and support of the institute's training and placement services.

• Library:

The library received the highest score of 9.5. This indicates that the alumni were extremely satisfied with the library facilities, likely due to the availability of resources, accessibility, and overall support provided by the library.

Canteen Facilities:

Canteen facilities were rated at 8.0. This suggests that while the canteen services were satisfactory, there is room for improvement in terms of food quality, variety, or service.

• Hostel Facilities:

Hostel facilities scored 8.5, indicating a good level of satisfaction among those who utilized these services. This score reflects well on the living conditions, amenities, and overall management of hostel facilities.

• Overall Rating of the Institute:

The overall rating of the institute was 8.0. This reflects a generally positive perception but also suggests that there are areas where the institute can further enhance the student experience.

• Alumni Association/Network of Old Friends:

The alumni association and network of old friends received a score of 8.5. This indicates that the alumni found value in the association and networking opportunities provided, suggesting a strong and supportive alumni community.

